

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/517,074	04/19/2005	John Arthur Hohneker	ON/4-32515A	8731	
1095 7590 04/02/2008 NOVARTIS			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3 EAST HANOVER. NJ 07936-1080			FETTEROLF,	FETTEROLF, BRANDON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) HOHNEKER ET AL. 10/517,074

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BRANDON J. FETTEROLF	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to roply within the six or extended period for riply will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(4).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 20-50 are subject to restriction and/or	ologion requirement						
6) Claim(s) 20-30 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priemy amaer de diele: 3 ma(a)	(4) 5. (1).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Tieformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/ICE)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal P</li> </ol>	atent Application					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Septent and Transport Office.	6) Other:	

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 2-42, 44-45 and 50, as specifically drawn to the special technical feature of a combination which comprise (a) a HER-1 or HER-2 antibody or (b) at least one antineoplatic agent and (c) an epothilone derivative.

Group 2, claim(s) 43 and 46-49, as specifically drawn to the special technical feature of a method of treating a warm blooded animal having a proliferative disease comprising administering a combination of a (a) a HER-1 or HER-2 antibody or (b) at least one antineoplatic agent and (c) an epothilone derivative.

(Note: For restriction and examination, the claimed use claims have been interpreted as method claims.)

The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups 1-2 appears to be the use of a epothilone derivative in combination with a Her-1, Her-2 or anti-neoplastic agent for the treatment of cancer.

However, US 2002/058286 teaches compositions for the treatment of tumors comprising epothilones, in combination with other drugs such as topoisomerase II inhibotors and microtubule active agents (p. 31-p33, end of paragraph).

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups 1-2 does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON J. FETTEROLF whose telephone number

is (571)272-2919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

/Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642